

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/856,407		10/25/2001	Andreas Neuner	SZY6126P0020US	SZY6126P0020US 7841	
32116	7590	07/05/2005		EXA	MINER	
•		KATZ, CLARK &	WUJCIAI	WUJCIAK, ALFRED J		
500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO II. 60661				3632	•	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/856,407	NEUNER, ANDREAS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	<u> March 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 16-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16 and 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/856,407

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the final Office Action for the serial number 09/856,407, PLANT

ARRANGEMENT WITH A HOLDER FOR OBJECTS THEREIN, filed on 10/25/01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 3,481,075 to Dastoli et al.

Dastoli et al. teaches a bouquet of flowers (74) including a bundle of floral stems and a support (50) for non-floral items comprising at least three supporting rods (56 and 58) secured at one end circularly bundled by a common supporting base (54) and including at least one non-floral item (52) secured to one of the supporting rods and supported by the support in the bouquet. Wherein the support is integrated within the bouquet and clasped in the bundle of floral stems. The item is secured to the supporting rod via a fastener (welded or soldered, col. 5, line 31) in which the supporting rod is inserted.

Dastoli et al. teaches the at least three supporting rods but fails to teach the at least three supporting rods are bendable however in figure 1 of Dastoli et al.'s invention shows the at least three supporting rods are bendable. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/856,407 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

art at the time the invention was made to have modified Dastoli et al.'s supporting rods with

bendable to provide more space for the flowers when retained in the supporting rods.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dastoli et al. in

view of German Patent #3,819,981 to Grotepass.

Dastoli et al. teaches the supporting rods but fails to teach the rods are made of refined

steel wire. Grotepass teaches the rod is made of refined steel wire (see abstract). It would have

been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

modified Dastoli et al.'s rods with refined steel wire as taught by Grotepass to improve

appearance of the support.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dastoli et al. in

view of Japan Patent # 1,207,711 to Niwa.

Dastoli et al. teaches the fastener but fails to teach the fastener is made of polyethylene.

Niwa teaches polyethylene (see abstract). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced Dastoli et al.'s fastener with

polyethylene as taught by Niwa to provide designer's choice for the kind of adhesive to fasten

rods with the item.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/856,407 Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23-24 are allowed.

In regard to claim 17, the prior art fails to teach wherein the item is a gift item. In regard to claims 23-24, the prior art fails to teach a method of configuring a bouquet of flowers comprising integrating the support within the bouquet.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/28/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to claims 16 and 20, if the applicant change the claim language, "wherein said support is integrated within said bouquet and clasped in said bundle of floral steams", to ---said support being integrated within said bouquet and means for clasping the supporting rods and the support in said bundle of floral stems---, claims 16 and 20 will be allowed. The proposed claim language is to clarify the function for the term of "clasp" for the supporting rods and support in the bundle of floral stems.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/856,407 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (571) 272-6827. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III Examiner

Art Unit 3632 ATい

6/24/05

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600